REMARKS

This Amendment has been prepared in response to the Office action of 28. November 2006 (Paper No. 20061113).

By this Amendment, the specification has been amended to correct minor errors and improve form. Claims 2 and 12 have been canceled without prejudice or disclaimer of their subject matter, and claims 1, 4, 6-11, and 13-19 have been amended. Thus, claims 1, 3-11 and 13-19 are pending in the application.

The specification and claims have been objected to and the claims rejected under 35 U.S.C. §112 for the reasons stated in sections 1-4 on pages 2-7 of the Office Action.

By this Amendment, the entire application has been revised such that it is submitted that the entire application meets all of the statutory requirements of 35 U.S.C. §112 as to form.

It is to be noted that "an SMS message" is correct as written. Furthermore, with regard to "the wireless network-interworking API" on lines 10 and 11 of claim 15 has antecedent basis in line 2 of claim 15.

Lastly, while the terms "public and private wireless communication networks" are well-known to those skilled in the art, they have been deleted from the claims for the sake of expediency.

Claims 1-19 have been rejected under 35 U.S.C. §102 as anticipated by Barnes (U.S. Patent Publication No. 2003/0065805) for the reasons stated in section 6 on pages 7-15 of the Office Action. By this Amendment, the claims have been revised and claims

canceled without prejudice or disclaimer of their subject matter and accordingly, it is submitted that the present claims, as amended, are patentable over Barnes for the following reasons:

The Examiner, in rejecting the claims as being anticipated by Barnes, has cited in great detail the specific paragraphs of Barnes purportedly supporting the Examiner's allegations. However, the Examiner has read features into Barnes which are in fact not taught by the cited paragraphs of Barnes.

For example, the variously recited features of claim 1 are not supported by the paragraphs cited by the Examiner.

In greater detail, paragraph [0202] of Barnes refers to allowing a user to order various items from room service, to purchase tickets for shows or other entertainment, order movies, establish credit for gambling, and receiving data for obtaining discounts for products or services.

The paragraphs on page 44 of Barnes refers to betting on games of chance such as blackjack or other card games or other games of chance such as dice.

Paragraph [0034] of Barnes merely refers to a multi-function communication device 101 while paragraph [0077] of Barnes merely refers to communication between the communication module 105 and other elements and paragraph [0095] of Barnes merely refers to encryption of data from the communication module 105.

Similarly, paragraph [0039] of Barnes merely refers to the device 101 having SMS capabilities but does not teach or suggest storing ticket sale information and creating an

PATENT P57043

SMS message indicating ticket sale information after selling the betting ticket and

transmitting the SMS message indicating the ticket sale information to the mobile

terminal via the wireless communication network as alleged by the Examiner.

In a similar fashion, the Examiner has read features into the other cited paragraphs

of Barnes not present in the cited paragraphs.

In view of the above, it is submitted that the present claims, as amended, are

patentable over Barnes and should therefore now be in a condition suitable for allowance.

Additional references are cited by the Examiner but not utilized in the rejection of

the claims and accordingly, no further comments on these references is necessary.

Should any questions remain unresolved, the Examiner is requested to telephone

Applicant's attorney.

No fee is incurred by this Amendment.

Respectfully submitted,

Robert E. Bushnell

Attorney for the Applicant

Registration No.: 27,774

1522 "K" Street N.W., Suite 300 Washington, D.C. 20005

(202) 408-9040

Folio: P57043

Date: 2/27/07 I.D.: REB/HZ

-13-